

Summary of procedural semantics of Prolog :

1. Try to match the current goal. If it matches with a fact, we are done and we can move to the next goal. If there are no more goals, answer 'yes'.
2. Try to match the current goal with the head of a rule. If so, replace the goal with the goal(s) on the RHS of the rule. The first goal (leftmost) goal becomes the current goal.
3. If matching fails, backtrack to a previous goal which has alternatives ('choice point'), undoing any variables bindings.
4. If all goals fail, answer 'no'
5. Matching is unification of terms
6. A term is anything of form $P(a_1, a_2, \dots)$

4/18/2008

2

Arguments can be of the form $p(a(r))$
↑
functions

Prolog also has a declarative semantics.

Instead of saying "in order to satisfy a goal satisfy these other goals first", we say "this statement is true if these statements are also true"

In other words, instead of an algorithm, we think in terms of a logical proof.

e.g. $a :- b, c, d.$

a true if b is true and c is true and d is true
or if b and c and d are true, then a is true

So Prolog is capable of expressing complex conditional statements of truth. This is logic - statements about what is the case (i.e. what is true).

Logic starts with simple statements called propositional.

"5 is an integer"

"a dog is a mammal"

"it is raining"

"George Bush is president"

The propositional calculus allows for symbols to represent statements that are either true or false.

"5 is an integer" could be represented by P

We can join statements together using and, or, not.

These are compound statements.

"It is cloudy and it is raining"
P \wedge Q

"It is hot or it is cold"
P V Q

There are in fact 16 logical connectives for combinations of 2 statements, but only a few are used. There is a special one, called negation.

it is not hot

$\neg P$

A very important connective is implication.

"If $\underbrace{\text{it is cloudy}}$ then $\underbrace{\text{it is raining}}$ "

$$\underbrace{P} \Rightarrow \underbrace{Q}$$

implies

The actual full meaning of implication is done with a truth table:

Let's do and: $P \wedge Q$

T	T	T
T	F	F
F	F	T
F	F	F

or is: $P \vee Q$

T	T	T
T	T	F
F	T	T
F	F	F

not: $\neg P$

F	T
T	F

implies

$P \Rightarrow Q$

T	T	T
---	---	---

'trivially
true'

{

T	F	F
F	T	T
F	T	F

$P \Leftrightarrow Q$ biconditional, sometimes \equiv

T T T

T F F

F F T

F T F

$P \Leftrightarrow Q$ is same

$\overline{P \Rightarrow Q \wedge Q \Rightarrow P}$

T	T	T	T	T	T
T	F	F	F	T	T
F	T	T	F	F	F
F	T	F	T	T	F

$P \Rightarrow Q$ can be written as $\overline{\neg P \vee Q}$

F	T	T	T
F	T	F	F
T	F	T	T
T	A	J	F

This is propositional calculus:

propositional symbols P, Q, R, \dots etc.

$\wedge, \vee, \neg, \Rightarrow, \Leftrightarrow \dots$

We can derive the truth of certain statements from the truth of others:

If $P \wedge Q$ is true, then P is true

assumptions $\frac{P \wedge Q}{P}$ } logical
proof

If $P \vee Q$ is true, and P is false, then Q is true

assumption $\frac{\neg P}{Q}$

4/18/2008

9

Double negation : $\neg\neg P$ is same as P

assumptions
$$\frac{\neg\neg P}{P}$$

The derivations are called inference rules, and
there are lots of them.