Programming Languages Qualifying Exam

Fall 2011 New Mexico State University

May 3, 2011

NOTE: this exam is open book and open notes.

Question 1 [.40 Points]

Consider the following program:

$$\begin{array}{l} 1: \{x \ge 2 \land y \ge 2\} \\ 2: \ fl = 1; \\ 3: \ \mathbf{for} \ (i = 2; i \le x \land i \le y; i + +) \\ 4: \ \mathbf{if} \ (x \ mod \ i == 0 \land y \ mod \ i == 0) \\ 5: \ fl = 0; \\ 6: \ \mathbf{endif} \\ 7: \ \mathbf{endfor} \\ 8: \{fl == 0 \Rightarrow \neg p \land \\ : \ fl == 1 \Rightarrow p\} \end{array}$$

- 1. Formulate the post-condition p as a condition on the variables x, y ([10 Points]);
- 2. Convert this program into a while-program (as in the syntax used by Gumb's book); provide a loop invariant for the resulting while-loop ([10 Points]);
- 3. Prove partial correctness using Hoare's method ([10 Points]);

4. The idea of Floydian expression can be generalized to the case of while loops—as expressions associated to a loop invariant, that should satisfy the same two conditions as in the case of Floydian Expressions. Develop a Floydian expression for the example program and prove that it satisfies the two required conditions to prove termination ([10 Points]).

Question 2 [60 Points]

Let us consider the following syntax for an imperative language

<program></program>	::= <statement></statement>
<statement></statement>	::= <statement> ; <statement></statement></statement>
	<pre><identifier> = <expression></expression></identifier></pre>
	<pre>if <expression> then <statement></statement></expression></pre>
<expression></expression>	::= <number></number>
	nil
	<pre> [<expression> <expression>]</expression></expression></pre>
	<pre>(<unaryop> <expression>)</expression></unaryop></pre>
	<pre>(<binaryop> <expression> <expression>)</expression></expression></binaryop></pre>
	<pre>(map <unaryop> <expression>)</expression></unaryop></pre>
<unaryop></unaryop>	::= head
	tail
	square
	double
<binaryop></binaryop>	::= add
	times

This language manipulates numbers and lists. A list can be either empty (denoted by nil) or not empty (denoted by $[exp_1|exp_2]$, where exp_1 is the head of the list and exp_2 is the tail of the list). For example, [1|[2|nil]] denotes the two-element list containing 1 followed by 2.

The expressions $(\langle unaryop \rangle exp)$ denote the application of the unary function $\langle unaryop \rangle$ to the argument exp. In a similar manner, the expression $\langle binaryop \rangle exp_1 exp_2$ denotes the application of the binary function $\langle binaryop \rangle$ to the two arguments exp_1, exp_2 .

The final case of expression, $(map \langle unaryop \rangle exp)$ is an iterative construct that repeats the application of the function $\langle unaryop \rangle$ to each element of the list represented by exp. For example, $(map \ double \ [1][2][3]nil]])$ applies the function double to each element of the list [1|[2|[3|nil]]], producing the list [2|[4|[6|nil]]].

- 1. Provide the denotational semantics of the language. You can avoid worrying about type checking ([30 Points]).
- 2. Discuss how the language features could be implemented; in particular, describe the memory representation of the lists and how the *map* construct could be implemented taking advantage of concurrency ([15 Points]).
- 3. Describe how the *map* construct could be translated using traditional iterative (e.g., while) and conditional (e.g., if) constructs ([15 Points]).