

CS 372: Algorithms

Quicksort

(Based on slides by David Luebke)

1

Review: Quicksort

- Sorts in place
- Sorts $O(n \lg n)$ in the average case
- Sorts $O(n^2)$ in the worst case
 - But in practice, it's quick
 - And the worst case doesn't happen often (but more on this later...)

2

Quicksort

- Another divide-and-conquer algorithm
 - The array $A[p..r]$ is *partitioned* into two non-empty subarrays $A[p..q]$ and $A[q+1..r]$
 - ◆ Invariant: All elements in $A[p..q]$ are less than all elements in $A[q+1..r]$
 - The subarrays are recursively sorted by calls to quicksort
 - Unlike merge sort, no combining step: two subarrays form an already-sorted array

3

Quicksort Code

```
Quicksort(A, p, r)
{
    if (p < r)
    {
        q = Partition(A, p, r);
        Quicksort(A, p, q);
        Quicksort(A, q+1, r);
    }
}
```

4

Partition

- Clearly, all the action takes place in the **partition()** function
 - Rearranges the subarray in place
 - End result:
 - ◆ Two subarrays
 - ◆ All values in first subarray \leq all values in second
 - Returns the index of the “pivot” element separating the two subarrays
- *How do you suppose we implement this?*

5

Partition In Words

- Partition(A, p, r):
 - Select an element to act as the “pivot” (*which?*)
 - Grow two regions, A[p..i] and A[i+1..j-1]
 - ◆ All elements in A[p..i] \leq pivot
 - ◆ All elements in A[i+1..j-1] $>$ pivot

6

Partition Code

```
Partition(A, p, r)
  x = A[r];
  i = p - 1;
  for j=p to r-1
    do if A[j] <= x
      then i = i+1;
         exchange A[i] and A[j];
  exchange A[i+1] and A[r]
  return i+1;
```

Illustrate on
A = {5, 3, 2, 6, 4, 1, 7, 3};

What is the running time of
partition()?

7

Partition Code

```
Partition(A, p, r)
  x = A[r];
  i = p - 1;
  for j=p to r-1
    do if A[j] <= x
      then i = i+1;
         exchange A[i] and A[j];
  exchange A[i+1] and A[r]
  return i+1;
```

partition() runs in $O(n)$ time

8

Analyzing Quicksort

- *What will be the worst case for the algorithm?*
 - Partition is always unbalanced
- *What will be the best case for the algorithm?*
 - Partition is perfectly balanced
- *Which is more likely?*
 - The latter, by far, except...
- *Will any particular input elicit the worst case?*
 - Yes: Already-sorted input

9

Analyzing Quicksort

- In the worst case:
 - $T(1) = \Theta(1)$
 - $T(n) = T(n - 1) + \Theta(n)$
- Works out to
 - $T(n) = \Theta(n^2)$

10

Analyzing Quicksort

- In the best case:
 $T(n) = 2T(n/2) + \Theta(n)$
- What does this work out to?
 $T(n) = \Theta(n \lg n)$

11

Improving Quicksort

- The real liability of quicksort is that it runs in $O(n^2)$ on already-sorted input
- Book discusses two solutions:
 - Randomize the input array, OR
 - *Pick a random pivot element*
- *How will these solve the problem?*
 - By insuring that no particular input can be chosen to make quicksort run in $O(n^2)$ time

12

Analyzing Quicksort: Average Case

- Assuming random input, average-case running time is much closer to $O(n \lg n)$ than $O(n^2)$
- First, a more intuitive explanation/example:
 - Suppose that `partition()` always produces a 10-to-1 split. This looks quite unbalanced!
 - The recurrence is thus:
$$T(n) = T(10n/11) + T(n/11) + cn$$
 - *How deep will the recursion go?* (draw it)

13

Analyzing Quicksort: Average Case

- Intuitively, a real-life run of quicksort will produce a mix of “bad” and “good” splits
 - Randomly distributed among the recursion tree
 - Pretend for intuition that they alternate between best-case ($n/2 : n/2$) and worst-case ($n-1 : 1$)
 - *What happens if we bad-split root node, then good-split the resulting size $(n-1)$ node?*

14

Analyzing Quicksort: Average Case

- Intuitively, a real-life run of quicksort will produce a mix of “bad” and “good” splits
 - Randomly distributed among the recursion tree
 - Pretend for intuition that they alternate between best-case ($n/2 : n/2$) and worst-case ($n-1 : 1$)
 - *What happens if we bad-split root node, then good-split the resulting size $(n-1)$ node?*
 - ◆ We end up with three subarrays, size 1, $(n-1)/2$, $(n-1)/2-1$
 - ◆ Combined cost of splits = $\Theta(n) + \Theta(n-1) = \Theta(n)$
 - ◆ No worse than if we had good-split the root node!

15

Analyzing Quicksort: Average Case

- Intuitively, the $\Theta(n)$ cost of a bad split (or 2 or 3 bad splits) can be absorbed into the $\Theta(n)$ cost of each good split
- Thus running time of alternating bad and good splits is still $O(n \lg n)$, with slightly higher constants

16

Analyzing Quicksort: Average Case

- Quicksort runs in $O(n \lg n)$ time on average (proved in the book)