Discrete Mathematics Qual Exam (Spring 2016)

Closed book exam

Question 1 (30%)

Prove by mathematical induction on n that if $d_1 + d_2 + \ldots + d_n = 2(n-1)$ where $d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_n \ge 1$ and $n \ge 2$, then there exists a tree with n nodes whose degrees are exactly d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_n .

Hint: To argue that an undirected graph is a tree, you have to explain that the graph is connected and acyclic.

Answer:

Base case: when n = 2. Since $d_1 + d_2 = 2(2 - 1) = 2$ and $d_1, d_2 \ge 1$, we deduce that $d_1 = d_2 = 1$. There exists a tree with 2 nodes and 1 edge that satisfy the degree requirements.

Induction hypothesis: Assume that the statement is verified for any n positive integers which sum is 2(n-1), where $n \ge 2$.

Induction step: Consider any n + 1 positive integers which sum is 2n.

As $n \ge 2$, we have 2(n + 1) > 2n > n + 1, which implies that there exists a vertex with degree larger than 1, and another vertex with degree 1.

Without loss of generality, we let $d_{n+1} = 1$ and $d_n > 1$. We define $d'_n = d_n - 1$. Then $d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_{n-1}, d'_n \ge 1$ and $d_1 + d_2 + \ldots, d_{n-1} + d'_n = 2n - 2 = 2(n-1)$. By the induction hypothesis, there exists a tree with degree sequence $d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_{n-1}, d'_n$. By extending the vertex with degree d'_n with one more edge to a new vertex, we still have a tree which degree sequence is now $d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_{n-1}, d_n, d_{n+1}$, where $d_{n+1} = 1$.

Question 2 (5% + 20%)

Suppose that we are given a key k to search for in a hash table with positions $0, 1, 2, \ldots, m-1$, and suppose that we have a hash function h mapping the keys into the set $\{0, 1, 2, \ldots, m-1\}$. The search scheme is as follows:

- 1. Compute the value j = h(k), and set i = 0.
- 2. Probe in position j for the desired key k. If you find it, or if this position is empty, terminate the search.

3. Set i = i+1. If i now equals m, the table is full, so terminate the search. Otherwise, set $j = (i+j) \mod m$, and return to step 2.

Assume that m is a power of 2.

(a) Express the value of j in step 2 in terms of h, k, i and m. (Note: if your answer involves a summation, be sure to rewrite it in a closed-form formula.)

Answer: $j = (h(k) + 1 + 2 + \ldots + i) \mod m = (h(k) + \frac{i(i+1)}{2}) \mod m$.

(b) Prove that this algorithm examines every table position in the worst case.

Hint: Show that the j values are distinct for i = 0, 1, 2, ..., m - 1. Consider two j values that correspond to i and i' where $0 \le i < i' \le m - 1$. Verify that the difference between the two j values is $((i' + i + 1)(i' - i)/2) \mod m$. Next, use case analysis to argue that $((i' + i + 1)(i' - i)/2) \ne 0 \mod m$.

Answer:

We want to argue that $i(i+1)/2 \mod m \neq i'(i'+1)/2 \mod m$ for $0 \leq i < i' \leq m-1$. Equivalently, we want to show that $(i'(i'+1)/2 - i(i+1)/2) \mod m \neq 0$, which is the same as showing $((i'+i+1)(i'-i)/2) \mod m \neq 0$.

- Case 1. (i'+i+1) is odd, (i'-i) is even. As (i'+i+1) > 1 is odd, and 0 < (i'-i)/2 < m, (i'+i+1)(i'-i)/2 cannot be a multiple of m, which is a power of 2.
- Case 2. (i'+i+1) is even, (i'-i) is odd, (i'-i) > 1. As 0 < (i'+i+1)/2 < m and (i'-i) is an odd number not equal to 1, (i'+i+1)(i'-i)/2 cannot be a multiple of m, which is a power of 2,
- Case 3. (i' + i + 1) is even, (i' i) = 1. (i' + i + 1)(i' - i)/2 = i' cannot be a multiple of m, since $0 < i' \le m - 1$.

Question 3. (4% + 4% + 4% + 4% + 7% + 7%)

Let X and Y be random variables over a **finite** sample space S.

(a) Define the concept of the expected value E(X).

Answer:

 $E(X) = \sum_{x \in S} x \cdot \operatorname{Prob}\{X = x\}.$

(b) Define the concept that X and Y are independent.

Answer:

 $\forall x, y \in S, \operatorname{Prob}\{X = x \text{ and } Y = y\} = \operatorname{Prob}\{X = x\} \cdot \operatorname{Prob}\{Y = y\}.$

(c) Define X + Y. Let Z = X + Y. How is Prob[Z = z] calculated?

Answer:

Let Z = X + Y. For $z \in S$, $\operatorname{Prob}(Z = z) = \sum_{x,y \in S, z = x+y} \operatorname{Prob}(X = x \text{ and } Y = y)$.

(d) Define XY. Let Z = XY. How is Prob[Z = z] calculated?

Answer:

Let
$$Z = XY$$
. For $z \in S$, $\operatorname{Prob}(Z = z) = \sum_{x,y \in S, z = xy} \operatorname{Prob}(X = x \text{ and } Y = y)$.

(e)

Are there random variables X and Y that are not independent such that $E(X+Y) \neq E(X) + E(Y)$? If your answer is yes, then define such random variables X and Y, show that X and Y are not independent and that $E(X+Y) \neq E(X) + E(Y)$. If your answer is no, then give a proof that for any variables X and Y (that are not necessarily independent), E(X+Y) = E(X) + E(Y).

Answer:

 $\begin{array}{l} E(Z) \\ = & E(X+Y) \\ = & \sum_{z \in S} z \cdot \operatorname{Prob}(Z=z) \\ = & \sum_{z \in S} z \cdot \sum_{x,y \in S, z=x+y} \operatorname{Prob}(X=x \text{ and } Y=y) \\ = & \sum_{z \in S} \cdot \sum_{x,y \in S, z=x+y} (x+y) \cdot \operatorname{Prob}(X=x \text{ and } Y=y) \\ = & \sum_{x,y \in S} (x+y) \cdot \operatorname{Prob}(X=x \text{ and } Y=y) \\ = & \sum_{x,y \in S} x \cdot \operatorname{Prob}(X=x \text{ and } Y=y) + \sum_{x,y \in S} y \cdot \operatorname{Prob}(X=x \text{ and } Y=y) \\ = & \sum_{x \in S} x \cdot \sum_{y \in S} \operatorname{Prob}(X=x \text{ and } Y=y) + \sum_{y \in S} y \cdot \sum_{x \in S} \operatorname{Prob}(X=x \text{ and } Y=y) \\ = & \sum_{x \in S} x \cdot \operatorname{Prob}(X=x) + \sum_{y \in S} y \cdot \operatorname{Prob}(Y=y) \\ = & E(X) + E(Y) \end{array}$

There is a shorter way to answer this question. See Section 18.3 of the MIT notes that we adopted for CS278.

Answer:

Yes. Let $S = \{0, 1\}$. We define $\operatorname{Prob}(X = 0 \text{ and } Y = 0) = 0.1$, $\operatorname{Prob}(X = 0 \text{ and } Y = 1) = 0.2$, $\operatorname{Prob}(X = 1 \text{ and } Y = 0) = 0.3$ and $\operatorname{Prob}(X = 1 \text{ and } Y = 1) = 0.4$. Then E(X) = 0.7 and E(Y) = 0.6. But, $E(XY) = 0.4 \neq 0.42 = E(X)E(Y)$.

Are there random variables X and Y that are not independent such that $E(XY) \neq E(X)E(Y)$? If your answer is yes, then define such random variables X and Y, show that X and Y are not independent and that $E(XY) \neq E(X)E(Y)$. If your answer is no, then give a proof that for any variables X and Y (that are not necessarily independent), E(XY) = E(X)E(Y).

Question 4 (15%)

A full binary tree is either a single-node tree, or consisting of a node with two subtrees that are full binary trees.

How many 11-node full binary trees are there? You are required to make use of the recurrence principle in the calculations.

Answer:

Let f(n) denote the number of full binary trees of n nodes.

$$\begin{split} f(1) &= 1. \\ f(2) &= 0. \\ f(3) &= f(1)f(1) = 1. \\ f(4) &= f(1)f(2) + f(2)f(1) = 0. \\ f(5) &= f(1)f(3) + f(2)f(2) + f(3)f(1) = 1 + 0 + 1 = 2. \\ f(6) &= f(1)f(4) + f(2)f(3) + f(3)f(2) + f(4)f(1) = 0. \\ f(7) &= f(1)f(5) + f(2)f(4) + f(3)f(3) + f(4)f(2) + f(5)f(1) = 2 + 0 + 1 + 0 + 2 = 5. \\ f(8) &= 0. \\ f(9) &= f(1)f(7) + f(2)f(6) + f(3)f(5) + f(4)f(4) + f(5)f(3) + f(6)f(2) + f(7)f(1) \\ &= 5 + 0 + 2 + 0 + 2 + 0 + 5 = 14. \\ f(10) &= 0. \\ f(11) &= 14 + 5 + 2 * 2 + 5 + 14 = 42. \end{split}$$

(f)