## Ph.D. Qualifying Exam: Analysis of Algorithms

This is a closed book exam. The total score is 100 points. Please answer all questions.

1. The Burrows-Wheeler transform (BWT) converts a string $s$ of length $n$ to another string $t$ of length $n$, so that $t$ is more likely to be represented as runs of the same character. We require that ${ }^{*}$ is always the last character in string $s$ indicating its end. We also assume that * alphabetically sort after all other characters. A remarkable property of BWT is that there is an inverse transform to decode $t$ back to $s$.

Answer the following questions regarding BWT.
(10 points) (a) The BWT encoding algorithm is given below as BWT(s). Please describe the output of BWT(s) when $s=M I S S I S S I P P I *$.

## function BWT (string $s$ )

Input: $s$ is a string that must end with the * character

1. create a table, where rows are all possible right rotations of $s$ including $s$
2. sort rows in the table alphabetically // treat each row as a word and sort the words
3. return (last column of the table)

Note: All possible right rotations of abc* including abc* are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{abc}{ }^{*} \\
& { }^{*} \mathrm{abc} \\
& \mathrm{c}^{*} \mathrm{ab} \\
& \mathrm{bc}{ }^{*} \mathrm{a}
\end{aligned}
$$

| Solution: |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Table of all right rotations of $s$ : | Sorting the rows, we get |
| MISSISSIPPI* | IPPI*MISSISS |
| *MISSISSIPPI | ISSIPPI*MISS |
| I*MISSISSIPP | ISSISSIPPI*M |
| PI*MISSISSIP | I*MISSISSIPP |
| PPI*MISSISSI | MISSISSIPPI* |
| IPPI*MISSISS | PI*MISSISSIP |
| SIPPI*MISSIS | PPI*MISSISSI |
| SSIPPI*MISSI | SIPPI*MISSIS |
| ISSIPPI*MISS | SISSIPPI*MIS |
| SISSIPPI*MIS | SSIPPI*MISSI |
| SSISSIPPI*MI | SSISSIPPI*MI |
| ISSISSIPPI * M | *MISSISSIPPI |

(20 points) (b) The BWT decoding algorithm is given below as inverseBWT $(t)$. Please describe the output of inverseBWT $(t)$ when $t=\mathrm{STNENESE}$ *. You must show intermediate steps to derive the final output.

## function inverseBWT (string $t$ )

Input: $t$ is a string encoded by applying BWT on some unknown $s$ and contains the special character * but does not necessarily end with *

1. create empty table
2. $n \leftarrow$ length $(t)$
3. repeat $n$ times
4. insert $t$ as a column of table before the first column of the table // Note: the first insert creates the first column
5. sort rows of the table alphabetically
6. return (row that ends with the * character)

| Solution: The length of $t$ is $n=10$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Table: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $t$ : | 001 | 01012 | 0120123 | 012301234 | 01234 | 012345012345 |
| S | E SE | EE SEE | EE* SEE* | EE*T SEE*T | EE* ${ }^{\text {TE }}$ | SEE*TE EE*TEN |
| T | E TE | EN TEN | ENN TENN | EnNE TENNE | ENNES | TENNES ENNESS |
| N | E NE | ES NES | ESS NESS | ESSE NESSE | ESSEE | NESSEE ESSEE* |
| E | E EE | E* EE* | E*T EE*T | E*TE EE*TE | E*TEN | EE*TEN E*TENN |
| N | N NN | NE NNE | NES NNES | NESS NNESS | NESSE | NNESSE NESSEE |
| E | N EN | NN ENN | NNE ENNE | NNES ENNES | NNESS | ENNESS NNESSE |
| S | S SS | SE SSE | SEE SSEE | SEE* SSEE* | SEE* ${ }^{\text {T }}$ | SSEE*T SEE*TE |
| E | S ES | SS ESS | SSE ESSE | SSEE ESSEE | SSEE* | ESSEE* SSEE*T |
| * | T *T | TE *TE | TEN *TEN | TENN *TENN | TENNE | *TENNE TENNES |
| E | E* | *T E*T | *TE E*TE | *TEN E*TEN | *TENN | E*TENN *TENNE |
| Table: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $t$ : | 0123456 | 0123456 | 01234567 | 01234567 | 012345678 | 8 012345678 |
| S | SEE*TEN | EE*TENN | SEE*TENN | EE*TENNE | SEE*TENNE | EE*TENNES |
| T | TENNESS | ENNESSE | TENNESSE | EnNESSEE | TENNESSEE | EnNESSEE* |
| N | NESSEE* | ESSEE*T | NESSEE* T | ESSEE* ${ }^{\text {TE }}$ | NESSEE*TE | ESSEE*TEN |
| E | EE*TENN | E*TENNE | EE*TENNE | E* TENNES | EE*TENNES | E*TENNESS |
| N | NNESSEE | NESSEE* | NNESSEE* | NESSEE* ${ }^{\text {T }}$ | NNESSEE*T | T NESSEE*TE |
| E | ENNESSE | NNESSEE | EnNESSEE | NNESSEE* | ENNESSEE* | NNESSEE*T |
| S | SSEE*TE | SEE*TEN | SSEE*TEN | SEE* TENN | SSEE*TENN | - SEE*TENNE |
| E | ESSEE*T | SSEE*TE | ESSEE*TE | SSEE*TEN | ESSEE*TEN | N SSEE*TENN |
| * | *TENNES | TENNESS | *TENNESS | TENNESSE | *TENNESSE | TENNESSEE |
| E | E*TENNE | * TENNES | E*TENNES | * TENNESS | E*TENNESS | *TENNESSE |


|  | Table: |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $t:$ | 0123456789 | 0123456789 |
| S | SEE*TENNES | EE*TENNESS |
| T | TENNESSEE* | ENNESSEE*T |
| N | NESSEE*TEN | ESSEE*TENN |
| E | EE*TENNESS | E*TENNESSE $^{*}$ |
| N | NNESSEE*TE | NESSEE*TEN |
| E | ENNESSEE*T | NNESSEE*TE |
| S | SSEE*TENNE | SEE*TENNES |
| E | ESSEE*TENN | SSEE*TENNE |
| ${ }^{*}$ | ${ }^{*}$ TENNESSEE | TENNESSEE* i-- The solution |
| E | E*TENNESSE | ${ }^{*}$ TENNESSEE |

(15 points)
(15 points)
(c) Let $t$ be the BWT transform of $s$, i.e., $t=\mathrm{BWT}(s)$. Study the solution in (b). From the insight gained, what is the relationship between $s$ and inverseBWT $(t)$ ? Prove your claim.

Solution: We show that $s$ and inverseBWT $(t)$ are two equal strings. Using loop invariant argument, we can show that the decoding algorithm inverseBWT() will grow the prefixes of the rows, rotated versions of $s$, from $t$ until the length of the string $t$ is reached.
(d) Let $n$ be the length of the input string $s$ and $t$ in the two functions. Please analyze the time complexity of both the encoding and decoding algorithms.

## Solution:

If we use comparison-based sorting, we must also consider that the time cost of each comparison is not constant, but a linear function of the length of the strings. A sorting of $n$ strings each of length $n$ will thus take $O\left(n^{2} \lg n\right)$ time.

Accordingly, the time complexity of $\mathrm{BWT}(s)$ is $O\left(n^{2} \lg n\right)$; and for inverseBWT $(t)$, the time complexity is $O\left(n(\lg n)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} i\right)\right)=O\left(n^{3} \lg n\right)$.
(30 points) 2. The longest path problem finds a longest simple path in a graph. We are here concerned with directed and edge-weighted graphs. The length of a path is the summation of the weights of edges on the path.

A Hamiltonian path is a simple path that visits each vertex in a graph exactly once. The Hamiltonian path problem answers whether a Hamiltonian path exists in a graph.

Show that the Hamiltonian path problem is equivalent to a special case of the longest path problem.

## Solution:

For a given graph of $n$ vertices in a Hamiltonian path problem, we can formulate a longest path problem by treating the weight of each edge as 1.

Longest path $\Rightarrow$ Hamiltonian path: If solving the longest path returns a simple path of length $n-1$, it must be a Hamiltonian path by definition;

Hamiltonian path $\Rightarrow$ longest path: If there is at least one Hamiltonian path in the graph, it must be a longest simple path in a graph of $n$-nodes and solving the longest path problem thus must return some Hamiltonian path.
(10 points) 3. Contemplate in what way algorithm design \& analysis may benefit your future doctoral study. You will need to give a concrete research topic that could evolve into your dissertation and describe how you may address the topic with a technique in algorithm analysis.

Solution: Grading: naming a topic area ( 5 points); naming a relevant algorithm technique that is defendable (5 points);

